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## I. The persistent question of the tails of probability distributions

- The discovery of the fact that the law $\mathrm{dx} / \pi\left(\mathrm{x}^{2}+1\right)$ (today called Cauchy law) has no expectation and has the stable property, hence doesn't fulfilled the central limit theorem, goes back to Siméon Denis Poisson around 1830, twenty years before Cauchy.
- Henri Poincaré at the end of the nineteenth century notes that this type of distribution contradicts the least squares method.
- during the twentieth century, with the development of mathematical economics, operational research and decision theory, the quadratic mean reasonings expand in a whole general rationality
- if, for a decision in uncertainty, you choose the value of a parameter so that the mean of the squares of the discrepancies between this quantity and the chosen value be minimal, then this kind of choice is compatible with other subsequent choices taken with the same method and with possible sub-choices done along the same principle.


## I. The persistent question of the tails of probability distributions

- the use of utility functions, although yielding true services to represent the behaviour of the agents with their subjective probabilities (and avoid St Petersburg paradox), doesn't solve at all the problem of the tails of probability distributions.
- On one hand the reasonings in quadratic mean are iteratively coherent and develop in a whole rationality, on the other hand, procedures and arguments using thick probability tails build a language which tends to deteriorate
given a family of probability distributions $P_{\alpha}$ on $\mathbb{R}$, each $P_{\alpha}$ possessing an expectation, if the real parameter $\alpha$ is random with law $\nu(d \alpha)$, it is possible that the resulting probability distribution

$$
P=\int P_{\alpha}[\cdot] \nu(d \alpha)
$$

have no expectation.
Climate models Weitzman 2007 of probability distributions

Other phenomena prevent from knowing the tails

- histogramms
- the «curse of dimensionality»
or make this knowledge sensitive to hypotheses
- theorem of extreme values


# II. Value at Risk 

## versus

## Coherent measures of risk

- VaR (JP Morgan 1970's)
maximal loss that is not exceeded with probability p.
VaR doesn't involve the tail of the distribution (practice $\neq$ theory)
- the methodology VaR can be extended to any modelization : taking in account a boundary and the probability of remaining inside this domain

BaR Boundary at Risk

## II. VaR vs coherent measures of risk

- methodology $B a R$ (Boundary at Risk) extends $V a R$, it consists for each quantity, say $X$, to define a domain $D$ and a threshold of probability $p$ such that $P\{X \notin D\} \leq p$.

This way of thinking possesses the natural coherence: if several situations are considered depending on a parameter $\alpha$ and if

$$
P_{\alpha}\left\{X \notin D_{1}\right\} \leq p
$$

for $\alpha$ in the domain $D_{2}$, if $\alpha$ becomes random and if the probability that $\alpha$ be outside $D_{2}$ is less than $q$, then for the resulting probability one has evidently:

$$
P\left\{\alpha \notin D_{2}, X \notin D_{1}\right\} \leq p+q .
$$

The language $B a R$ allows to improve a model by taking in account new aleas provided that the new randomized parameters be managed in the same way.

More generally, if two random quantities are considered $X$ and $Y$ and if $N(y, d x)$ is the conditional law of $X$ given $Y=y$. If we know
i) the measure $N(y, d x) 1_{D_{1}}(x)$ when $y$ is in $D_{2}$
ii) the law of $Y$ given the event $Y \in D_{2}$ i.e. the probability measure $\frac{1_{D_{2}}(y) P_{Y}(d y)}{P_{Y}\left(D_{2}\right)}$
then we know the joint law of the pair $(X, Y)$ given the event $\left\{X \in D_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y \in D_{2}\right\}$.

## II. VaR vs coherent measures of risk

- VaR is not subadditive in general
- Coherent measures of risk
(Artzner, Delbaen, et al. 1999)
any risk criterion satisfying the common sense rules when two portfolios are gathered or when a portfolio is multiplied by a deterministic constant, (subadditivity $C\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) \leq C\left(X_{1}\right)+C\left(X_{2}\right)$; homogeneity $C\left(\lambda X_{1}\right)=\lambda C\left(X_{1}\right)$; monotony $C\left(X_{1}\right) \leq C\left(X_{2}\right)$ if $X_{1} \leq$ $X_{2}$; invariance by translation) is necessarily of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(L)=\sup \left\{\mathbb{E}_{P}[L] \mid P \in \mathbf{P}\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where P is a set of probability laws, $\mathbb{E}_{P}$ the expectation symbol under the probability $P$ and $L$ the loss.

# II. VaR vs coherent measures of risk 

## VaR or BaR

Coherent measures of risk

no tail is used

knowledge of the whole distribution is required
logic of scenarif

# III. The financial crisis : a short analysis 



## III. Financial crisis : a short analysis

## The crisis arises when the finance is highly mathematized

- the «Black-Scholes» revolution and the hedging of options
- development of derived markets
- new professional profiles in finance
- term structure and rate models
- Cox-Ingersoll-Ross, Heath-Jarrow-Morton
- high dimensional SDE's
- long term behaviour of agents and calibration today
- credit derivatives
- markets of mortgage loans
- credit default swaps (CDS)

Historical Treasury Yield Curves (1982-present)
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## Histogrammes des notations par les agences




Sources: Fitch Ratings, Financial Times, 16 août 2007.

## III. Financial crisis : a short analysis

## Mathematics in the hot seat

- Maths have taken away from the «real» economy
- Lionel Jospin (Le Débat jan 2009)
- fundamentals and subjective probabilities
- position of some quants and some mathematicians:
- the non-arbitrage principle suggests to take as less as possible risk
- traders are gamblers... possibly crazy
- the ones who do not follow our models aren't rational
- on both sides there is a skapegoat (bouc émissaire) maths or crazy traders


## III. Financial crisis : a short analysis

It is the meaning of the event that makes the risk

- Market of risks : an a priori good idea but...
- calculations of risks are necessary to price
the exchanges
- that encapsulates the risks
- the probabilistic representation of risks as a pair (probability law, function of costs) is fundamentaly an deletion of the meaning
- the meaning of the event changes with what is understood
- exemple of discovering new causes
two non-miscible fluids

Siméon Denis Poisson (1781-1840)
Recherches sur la probabilité des jugements


Antoine Augustin Cournot (1801-1877)
Exposition de la théorie des chances et des probabilités (1834)
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Jugend Styl
Vienne 1898
De Stijl Rotterdam 1924



Bruno Zevi théoricien de l'architecture notamment connu des élèves architectes pour son analyse de l'espace intérieur de Saint Pierre de Rome écrit dans Langage moderne de l'architecture (1973)


La symétrie est un invariant du classicisme. Donc la dissymétrie est un invariant du modernisme

## A la question de savoir où situer une fenêtre, une porte, un objet hors des symétries, il répond




# V. Back on the tails of distributions 

## V. Back on the tails of distributions

- They change with the interpretation
- there is no objective unknown tail
- as soon as a new lecture of the economic complexity appears, the tails vary
- in the case of climate, the meaning of the uncertainties in IPCC models has changed
- at the beginning : physical unknowns
- now : psychological and political unknowns
- in a world of pluralism, where the agents attempt to understand what happens,
no mathematical modeling of social events may be objective nor universal

